

United States District Court
Southern District Of New York

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03 MDL 1570 (RCC) ECF Case
---	-------------------------------

This Document Relates to:

Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., et al. v. Akida Private Bank Ltd., et al., 04-cv-7065 (RCC)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING THE SCHEDULE FOR PRINCE SULTAN
BIN ABDULAZIZ AL-SAUD, PRINCE SALMAN BIN ABDULAZIZ AL-SAUD, PRINCE
NAIF BIN ABDULAZIZ AL-SAUD, AND THE SAUDI HIGH COMMISSION
TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants His Royal Highness Prince Sultan bin Abdulaziz Al-Saud, His Royal Highness Prince Salman bin Abdulaziz Al-Saud, His Royal Highness Prince Naif bin Abdulaziz Al-Saud, and the Saudi High Commission subject to the approval of this Court, as follows:

1. If an interlocutory appeal is taken from this Court's January 18, 2005 Memorandum and Opinion, Prince Sultan, Prince Salman, Prince Naif, and the Saudi High Commission shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which any mandate from the Court of Appeals issues to move to dismiss or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.

2. Plaintiffs shall file and serve their oppositions to Prince Sultan's, Prince Salman's, Prince Naif's, and the Saudi High Commission's motions to dismiss within forty-five (45) days from the date(s) on which Prince Sultan, Prince Salman, Prince Naif, and the Saudi High Commission serve their respective motions on Plaintiffs.


3. Prince Sultan, Prince Salman, Prince Naif, and the Saudi High Commission shall file and serve any replies to the Plaintiffs' oppositions within thirty (30) days from the date(s) on which the Plaintiffs serve their oppositions.

4. The foregoing schedule is without waiver of any of Prince Sultan's, Prince Salman's, Prince Naif's, or the Saudi High Commission's defenses, including the defense of lack of personal jurisdiction, except that Prince Sultan, Prince Salman, Prince Naif, and the Saudi High Commission do not challenge the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' service of process on them.

5. This stipulation supersedes all previous stipulations as to scheduling between Plaintiffs' counsel and counsel for each of Prince Sultan, Prince Salman, Prince Naif, and the Saudi High Commission.


Respectfully submitted,

Dated in Washington, D.C.: 2/23/05


Jamie S. Kilberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BAKER BOTTS LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2400
Phone: (202) 639-7700
Fax: (202) 639-7890

*Counsel for Defendants Prince Sultan bin
Abdulaziz Al-Saud, Prince Salman bin
Abdulaziz Al-Saud, and Prince Naif bin
Abdulaziz Al-Saud*

Dated in Washington, D.C.: 2/25/05


Lawrence S. Robbins (LR 8917)
ROBBINS, RUSSELL, ENGLERT,
ORSECK & UNTEREINER LLP
1801 K Street, N.W., Suite 411


Washington, D.C. 20006

Phone: (202) 775-4500

Fax: (202) 775-4510

*Counsel for Defendant Saudi High
Commission*

Dated in New York, NY: 3/2/05



Jonathan M. Goodman (JG 3031)

DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO, MORIN &
OSHINKSY, L.L.P.

1177 Avenue of the Americas

41st Floor

New York, New York 10036-2714

Phone: (212) 835-1400

Fax: (212) 997-9880

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Cantor Fitzgerald
& Co., et al.*

SO ORDERED:



Richard C. Casey

U.S.D.J.

Date: March 3, 2005 